

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION N | 0. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-------------------------------|---|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/617,536 | | 07/11/2003 | Jeffrey L. Armstrong | 043210-1542-00 | 3508 | |
| 23409 | 7590 | 03/16/2005 | | EXAM | EXAMINER | |
| MICHAEL BEST & FRIEDRICH, LLP | | | LLP | HURLEY | HURLEY, KEVIN | |
| | 100 E WISCONSIN AVENUE MILWAUKEE, WI 53202 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | | 3611 | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 03/16/2003 | 5 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | 1 | | | | |
|--|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| 0/ | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | 1 | | | | |
| V | 055 | 10/617,536 | ARMSTRONG ET AL. | , | | | | |
| \ | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | Kevin Hurley | 3611 | | | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| | , | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 4-19,22 and 23 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-3,20 and 21 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | | |
| 10) | The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a constant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine | epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | |
| Priority (| ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | • | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Information | ct(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal R 6) Other: | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 3. Note, in claims 20-21 the term "oil tank" has been interpreted as being a tank intended to be filled with oil. A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 1987).

Thus, there is no structure recited to differentiate an oil tank from any other tank.

Art Unit: 3611

4. Claims 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jansson.

Jansson discloses a method of assembling an tank comprising:

engaging a cap 7 with an inlet 43; rotating the oil tank cap about the inlet axis from an inserted position to a sealed position; moving a movable portion 9 of the oil tank cap from an extended position to a retracted position without significantly rotating the movable portion; and maintaining the movable portion of the oil tank cap in the retracted position,

wherein moving the movable portion includes moving the movable portion such that an outer surface 41 of the oil tank cap is substantially flush with an outer surface of the tank (see column 5 lines 52- column 6 line 2) matching the contour of the outer surface of the oil tank cap with the contour of the outer surface of the tank when the oil tank cap is in the retracted and sealed positions, wherein moving a movable portion includes pressing and releasing the movable portion.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Art Unit: 3611

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jansson in view of Okuma et al.

Jansson discloses an oil tank cap rotatable about the inlet axis between an inserted position and a sealed position, the oil tank cap having a movable portion 41 movable substantially along the inlet axis without substantial rotation between a retracted position and an extended position. Jansson discloses the claimed invention but does not show the details of the motorycle.

The parts of the motorcycle recited in claim 1 are conventional and shown by Okuma et al., for example front and rear wheels 2, 8, a frame 16, an engine 18 supported by the frame, and a tank 11.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, to use the cap structure disclosed by Jansson on the motorcycle disclosed by Okuma et al., in order to improve the aesthetic appearance of the cap.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 4-19, 22-23 are allowed.

Art Unit: 3611

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 25 February 2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Regarding claim 1, applicant has argued that Jansson does not teach or suggest an oil tank cap that includes a second portion mounted to a first portion and movable parallel to the inlet axis while maintaining the rotational orientation of the second portion substantially fixed with respect to the first portion. The examiner disagrees. The first and second portions are movable along the inlet axis without rotation between the two. The two need only be rotated relative to one another in order to lock or unlock the two together. While moving from a retracted to an extended position, no rotation is necessary. The same issue applies to claim 20.

Conclusion

10. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3611

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kevin Hurley whose telephone number is 703-308-0233. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lesley Morris can be reached on 703-308-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Kevin Hurley
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3611

March 8, 2005